

- MINUTES -
CHASKA CITY COUNCIL
MAY 20, 2024

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Windschitl at 7 p.m.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

3. Roll Call

Roll call was taken. Present: Mayor Windschitl and Councilmembers Grau, Hatfield, Hubbard, and Huang.

Absent: None.

Also Present: Matt Podhradsky, City Administrator; Noel Graczyk, Administrative Services Director; Krista Mark, Communications Coordinator; Elise Durbin, Assistant City Administrator; Marshall Grange, Parks and Recreation Director; Nate Kabat, Community Development Director; Liz Hanson, City Planner; Ashley Cauley, City Planner; and Christophe Morschen, City Attorney.

4. Adopt the Agenda

Motion by Councilmember Hubbard, second by Councilmember Hatfield to adopt the agenda as presented. Motion carried.

5. Visitor Presentation

John Sigfreid, 110696 Village Road #105, stated the contract regarding landscaping downtown has a high variance between the lowest and highest bid. The best bid was \$400,000 cheaper than other bids and \$300,000 less than what the Engineer estimated. He asked if there is a contingency or policy in place to handle change orders.

Mr. Sigfried added parking has been destroyed by construction or is inaccessible due to construction. There is no solution offered by the City. He requested the construction workers not take up the best parking spots. Some financial assistance was provided to the wine store to offset the loss of business from construction. The same should be considered for La Hermosa and Revive Nutrition.

Fred Koivumaki, 276 Jasper Circle N, pointed out he emailed each member of the Council regarding a development. Mayor Windschitl stated the development is on the agenda for the evening, so the Council will discuss it. Mr. Koivumaki noted the infrastructure is interesting because it will complete a circle. He requested it be compatible with the lot size that is already in place.

6. Approve Previous Meeting Minutes

6.A. Approve the May 6, 2024 City Council Meeting Minutes

Motion by Councilmember Hatfield, second by Councilmember Grau to approve the minutes of the May 6, 2024 City Council meeting.
Motion carried.

7. Consent Agenda

Councilmember Hatfield stated she drove by the Habitat for Humanity development, and it looks great. She thanked Habitat for Humanity for their work in the community.

Councilmember Grau asked for Staff's thoughts on the landscaping bid. City Administrator Podhradsky explained the Engineering Department has the most concern about bids and the bidder's ability to complete the projects. The City doesn't have a lot of leeway to reject bids. Staff sits down with the best bidder before bringing any bids to the Council. The bidder is going to work quickly and use a lot of staff.

Mayor Windschitl added some people bid really high because they are unable to take on the project.

Councilmember Huang asked if Chaska had worked with the contractor before. City Administrator Podhradsky stated the City has not worked with the contractor previously.

Councilmember Huang asked if options are in place should the contractor not follow through. City Attorney Christophe Morschen noted there are a number of legal avenues the City could access should need to seek out recourse.

Motion by Councilmember Hubbard, second by Councilmember Hatfield to approve the Consent Agenda Items A through G:

- A. Adopt Resolution 2024-42 Awarding the Bid for 2-115kV Breakers for the Minnesota River Substation

Motion to Adopt Resolution No. 2024-42, awarding the bid for the 2-115kV Breakers for the Minnesota River Substation to Siemens for the amount of \$208,700.00.

- B. Adopt Resolution 2024-43 Awarding Bid for Exterior Renovation and Roof Replacement of FoodShelf/Well 4 Building

Motion to Adopt Resolution No. 2024-43, awarding the bid for the Exterior Renovation and Roof Replacement of the Bountiful Baskets Food Shelf/Well 4 Building to Allied Construction, in the amount of \$321,320.00.

- C. Adopt Resolution 2024-45 Awarding bid for the 2024 Downtown Project - Landscaping/Irrigation Project

Motion to adopt Resolution No. 2024-45, Awarding the bid for the 2024 Downtown Project – Landscaping/Irrigation Project to Valdes Land Care and Snow Removal, LLC in the low bid total amount of \$534,662.50.

- D. Joint Powers and Mutual Aid Agreement for State Delegated Building Inspections

Motion authorizing the Mayor and City Administrator to enter into a Joint Powers and Mutual Aid Agreement for state delegated building inspections with the Cities of Chanhassen and Victoria.

- E. Approve 2024-2025 Liquor License Renewals

Motion to approve liquor license renewals as itemized on Schedule A attached, effective July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025, with contingencies as noted.

F. RFA Approve Meeting Time Change for Parks and Recreation Commission

Motion to Approve the Parks and Recreation Commission Request to Change the Monthly Meeting Start Time To 6:30 pm for the remainder of 2024.

G. Accepting Public Improvements – Shepard of the Hills Development by Twin Cities Habitat for Humanity

Motion to accept the public improvements for the Shepherd of the Hill Development as municipal facilities and adjust bond accordingly.

Motion carried.

8. Action Items

8.A. Motion to adopt Resolution No. 2024-50 approving the Concept Plan for Jaspers Circle/Todd Olin/ PC #2024-06

City Administrator Podhradsky introduced the item to the Council and City Planner Ashley Cauley provided a presentation on it.

Councilmember Hatfield noted she drove through the area. It is important for the developer to fit the existing neighborhood. Traffic is always hard, but the roundabouts will be helpful.

Councilmember Hubbard asked if the ghost plot was considered. Ms. Cauley explained it would be considered with the Comprehensive Guide Plan.

Councilmember Huang asked if the future ghost plot is the same density as the proposal. Ms. Cauley stated she doesn't have the exact calculation with her.

Mayor Windschitl asked if the ghost plat was originally planned or if there is one in the future. Ms. Cauley stated the initial ghost plat was submitted in 1997. The second ghost plat was submitted with this Concept Plan.

Councilmember Hubbard clarified her previous question was regarding the future ghost plat. Ms. Cauley stated she can't answer with a specific number.

City Administrator Podhradsky pointed out they use a general calculation for the ghost plat. Ms. Cauley stated the intersections would have been built with the ghost plats in consideration.

Mayor Windschitl asked if the future ghost plat would only be in place should the owner sell the land. Community Development Director Nate Kabat explained the applicant isn't putting anything forward for the northern portion. It is merely a proof of concept showing a potential option. Mayor Windschitl stated it is important to talk about it nonetheless.

Councilmember Huang stated he would like to know how many lots would be in the future ghost plat should the same density be applied to it.

Councilmember Grau stated the thresholds aren't being hit which would require green space or landscaping requirements. Ms. Cauley confirmed that was correct.

Mayor Windschitl asked how high a berm would be on the side for Hwy 41. Ms. Cauley stated one condition of approval is a berm on lots three through nine. The specific height has yet to be determined. Mayor Windschitl pointed out the berms make the lots much smaller.

Mayor Windschitl asked if the public had any comments.

Donelle Heieie, 303 Groves Drive, noted she is concerned about the proposed number of lots. The developer pushes a self-serving narrative and ignores the community's values. The increased density should not be accepted due to poor planning or bad investment. People are more important than profits.

Jill Randall, 1696 White Oak Drive, pointed out she wasn't aware of previous meetings as she was not within the notification area. However, the development would impact her. There should be an additional access point to the neighborhood for safety purposes. Her car has been hit by a truck, and she has had to run for her life while cars are fishtailing on her street. There is also a lot of dead wildlife in the streets due to the speeding. Additional traffic would only make the neighborhood less safe.

Commissioner Hubbard explained infill projects are always hard. The reason for only one access is a decision by the Minnesota Department of Transportation. There seems to be justification for the lot sizes. However, the lot sizes are too small. Both the current and future residents need to be considered.

Commissioner Hubbard noted it is likely that the Planning Commission struggled with a decision, which is why they added so many conditions. She stated she would like to see the lot sizes be made more similar to the existing lots.

Commissioner Grau agreed the traffic increase is inconvenient. The average lot size is comparable to other nearby developments. However, the price point seems much higher. Ultimately, it is the market that will dictate the price. The berm is questionable. He chose his location for his family because of the larger lot size as it is more suitable for a family. There is a huge value in lot size.

Commissioner Grau pointed out it is expected for a developer to make the most money off of their investment. There is some change in the plan during the site plan review. The economics have changed over time.

City Administrator Podhradsky explained the concept plan phase is the appropriate time to inform the developer of preferred density in order for them to have a chance at the site plan phase.

Commissioner Hubbard added landscaping as screening takes a lot to make an impact. Commissioner Grau stated he wouldn't like to see a wall there either.

Councilmember Huang stated the current neighborhood is built at a density of 1.8. The developer is looking to build at the median of the current standard, which is different than previous standards. It is a hard balance between reducing density while keeping the price point within a reasonable range. He added a higher price point would also bring in a different type of neighbor.

Councilmember Huang agreed the berm is concerning. There isn't enough space to create a noise barrier with landscaping. The berm, however, also takes up a lot of room.

Councilmember Huang stated he wouldn't be comfortable with the proposal until all impacted parties are okay with it. He doesn't want to leave a legacy of poor decisions. The residents will be in their homes much longer than the Councilmembers will be on the Council.

Councilmember Hatfield stated she lives downtown, and none of the homes look alike. However, she likes that. New builds are never going to perfectly match the existing homes. Also, infill is hard. When the Council asks for fewer lots, the developer has to offer larger houses.

Councilmember Grau stated while the lot average is listed at 0.19, if the largest lot is removed from the average, the average goes down to 0.17. Multiple lots are 0.14. Those can fit elsewhere, but it's not appropriate for the area.

Councilmember Hatfield added removing only two lots would make a big impact on other lots. Councilmember Hubbard stated consistency in lot size makes a more cohesive neighborhood.

Mayor Windschitl asked if the starting cost of \$550,000 is for the small lots. Todd Olin, Land and Resource Consulting, stated the smaller lots cost \$550,000 while the larger ones are closer to \$650,000. They plan to build a similar square footage home as the existing homes.

Mr. Olin explained the proposal is very similar to other infill. The fixed costs remain the same despite the number of homes built. They hope to keep the homes at a reasonable price point that are similar to others in the neighborhood. Ultimately, the developer is committed to working with the neighbors and Staff to reach a compromise.

Mr. Olin stated the proposal is similar to homes north or south on White Oak. There is also value in creating a neighborhood with a range of options. The higher end of the density requirements are able to be met because land is removed for stormwater and right of ways.

Mr. Olin added the developer wants to build two of the homes for his own children because he values the community.

Mr. Olin noted the developer always needs to make a profit off an investment, otherwise there wouldn't be any new development. They typically aim for profits of 40 to 50 percent at the start with a final profit margin of 35 percent. The proposal is already on the lower end of appropriate profit margins to best suit the interests of the neighborhood.

Mayor Windschitl asked what Mr. Olin heard from the neighbors. Mr. Olin stated the neighbors expressed concerns about traffic, character, and density. Mayor Windschitl asked how those concerns can be addressed. Mr. Olin stated they plan to go back to the drawing board to determine what best fits the character of the neighborhood.

Mayor Windschitl stated the area was ghosted for eight, but the developer is at 16. There needs to be another option to serve all parties. The developer needs to be creative as 16 is too many.

Mayor Windschitl added there is no cutting through the neighborhood, and the majority of speeders are neighbors. He suggested the neighborhood start an email chain to call out speeders.

Commissioner Huang stated the best litmus test of a successful development is happy neighbors. Mr. Olin noted he isn't sure they will get there, but they will make the best effort to find a compromise.

Mayor Windschitl stated the Council can vote for approval to allow time for the developer to make some changes. The development could still be rejected at the site plan phase. City Administrator Podhradsky confirmed that would be appropriate. He suggested stronger language be used to direct Staff to work with the developer to determine the appropriate size of density.

Commissioner Grau thanked Mr. Olin for speaking with the Council and his willingness to work with the neighbors.

Councilmember Huang pointed out he would not vote in favor of the approval. He plans to change his vote once the neighbors are on board.

Mayor Windschitl asked what would happen if all Councilmembers voted against the proposal. Mr. Kabat stated the developer would have to resubmit the concept plan. There would typically need to be findings to support the denial.

Mr. Olin asked if there is a one-year time period required before a similar concept plan is submitted. City Administrator Podhradsky stated Staff will have to check the Zoning Ordinance for requirements.

Mayor Windschitl explained the Planning Commission approved the proposal because it meets the legal requirements in the code. The Council makes the determination if the specific proposal fits with the area. Voting in favor of the concept plan would allow the developer to further refine the plan.

City Administrator Podhradsky stated there is a waiting period for resubmission when rezoning is being considered, but there is not for the concept plan.

Motion by Councilmember Hatfield, second by Councilmember Hubbard to adopt Resolution 2024-50 approving the concept plan for Jasper Circle including the conditions from the Planning

Commission recommendation with an additional condition to direct Staff to work with the developer to determine an appropriate density.
Motion carried 4-1.

8.B. Motion to adopt Resolution No. 2024-48 OR Resolution 2024-52 and Ordinance No. 1045 Approving the Preliminary Site & Building Plan and Rezoning for Hazeltine National Golf Course - Northwest/Hazeltine National Golf Course/PC #2024-07

City Administrator Podhradsky introduced the item to the Council and City Planner Liz Hanson provided a presentation on it.

Mayor Windschitl asked if it is legal for the two items to be combined. Ms. Hanson confirmed it is legal, though there are two separate motions due to differing timelines.

Councilmember Hubbard asked why the developer wants to eliminate the ninth condition. Ms. Hanson stated they didn't believe it was necessary because one access is minimally used.

Councilmember Hatfield asked why the developer wants to keep open to two sidewalks. Ms. Hanson stated the developer wanted to keep their options open.

City Administrator Podhradsky noted it would be very rare for the eleventh condition to work out because there are different property owners.

Mayor Windschitl stated the options have already been explored, and it is more feasible to keep the sidewalk on the south side.

Mr. Kabat recommended the condition be clarified. The applicant is implementing the sidewalk, whether it is on the south or north side. It is unheard of for the City to contribute to that. City Administrator Podhradsky stated there is no precedent for a developer to push a sidewalk or other feature onto another property.

Councilmember Huang asked if the Council could eliminate the ninth condition and add the eleventh condition. City Administrator Podhradsky confirmed that could be done.

Councilmember Grau asked if cities, where Airbnbs and the like are banned, would disallow the villas. City Administrator Podhradsky stated that wouldn't be a conflict.

Phil Anderson, General Manager of Hazeltine National Golf Club, explained the access points are important to the Club for situations such as deliveries or busses with certain professionals.

Mayor Windschitl asked how the service access is set up. Mr. Anderson stated the service access has a gate with a right-hand turn to the loading area. The deliveries flare on both sides. It is important to have multiple exit options for certain guests the course has.

Mayor Windschitl asked Staff why they prefer fewer access points. Ms. Hanson noted it is to increase safety at the intersection.

Mr. Anderson stated it is a right-hand turn into the facility, and there isn't an opportunity to turn left out of the gate. One service gate is for entry and one service gate is for exiting. They try to separate the delivery traffic from the guest traffic.

Mr. Kabat stated there is a service exit near Birdie Lane, which is an opportunity to combine it with the main access.

Councilmember Hubbard asked if there is a stop sign at the main entrance. Mr. Anderson stated there is a guard house with an employee. Members would have access with technology usage. As for exit, there is a stop before continuing down the road.

Brady Busselman, representative of Sambatek and consulting Civil Engineer, explained Hazeltine Boulevard is essentially acting as a cul-de-sac. The entrance is designed to guide guests to the main gate.

Mr. Anderson showed a map of the route the trucks take to access the loading dock by backing in. Mayor Windschitl stated the route shows the trucks already mingle with the guests by going through the parking area.

Mr. Busselman stated the alternative on the west entrance would require significant widening. There is also an opportunity for busses to access through the service gate adjacent to the main gate.

Mayor Windschitl stated the main exit is a pinch point. Mr. Anderson shared an example of a bus full of a team entering the area could be streamlined instead of going through security with spectators or other guests. Their shareholders have requirements for multiple access points. Mayor Windschitl stated it makes sense for the right-hand turn to enter, but it still crosses the guest parking.

Commissioner Grau stated the approach is important to promote member experience at the entrance and exit. They are trying to promote celebrity and privacy.

Councilmember Hubbard noted there is an issue with pedestrian safety. Routing trucks through the same area as pedestrians and parking isn't safe.

Mr. Anderson stated the residents living on the property aren't regularly leaving the property. Also, the road is closed during major events.

Councilmember Hubbard pointed out weddings are hosted at the venue. Mr. Anderson confirmed that is correct, and the parking is mainstreamed. Moving the gate up and eliminating the left-hand turn is intended to promote pedestrian safety. Also, there are only six to eight deliveries each day. Councilmember Hubbard stated her concern isn't with the deliveries but pedestrians crossing the parking lot.

Mr. Busselman provided a document to the Council showing the alternative and explained the median and access point would have to be opened up on the west side. In that scenario, trucks would be routed through both parking lots.

Mr. Kabat noted it is uncommon for there to be so many access points onto a roadway as it is generally less safe. Staff has tried to minimize the impact. Options to eliminate the access have not been thoroughly explored. The intention is to work toward a solution for all parties.

City Administrator Podhradsky stated Council can approve the item and allow Staff to review alternative options. Councilmember Huang asked if there can be a condition requiring the access points be worked out with Staff. City Administrator Podhradsky stated that could be an option. Ultimately, the issue isn't a hill to die on, and it is being over-complicated.

Mr. Kabat pointed out Staff prepared multiple options for the Council to consider.

City Administrator Podhradsky added their needs to be direction from Council on the trail side.

Mr. Anderson stated the Course is passionate about having a safe community and working with their neighbors. They have considered the option of only one crossing over Hazeltine Boulevard to promote safety.

Councilmember Grau asked if the option would require Hazeltine to purchase the land for the sidewalk. City Administrator Podhradsky stated for it to be equitable with other developments in the City, it shouldn't be paid for by the City.

Councilmember Grau asked if Hazeltine has considered purchased the property to add the sidewalk. Mr. Anderson stated they aren't looking to buy more property. Instead, they are hoping to make an investment in the community and help pay for the cost of the sidewalk. They need to explore if there is enough room in the right of way.

Councilmember Huang stated there needs to be sidewalk no matter what. It is the onus of the developer to pay for it. City Administrator Podhradsky pointed out he has never seen a scenario where a sidewalk or trail is shifted across the road. The other side of the road likely has other requirements to consider. Councilmember Huang added consistency is key.

Mr. Anderson explained no one is exiting the property from the villas to access the sidewalk. City Administrator Podhradsky stated no one is disputing that.

Councilmember Grau stated he understands the uniqueness of the entrance requirements. However, the sidewalk is another story. It has to fit the neighborhood and be consistent with other developments in Chaska.

Mayor Windschitl stated he doesn't see what else can be explored beyond what has been discuss. The sidewalk needs to be in line with City standards.

Mr. Busselman stated the plans show right of way on the northern side of the property, so considerations of the right of way need to be considered for the sidewalk.

Mayor Windschitl stated the right of way is for utility access. City Administrator Podhradsky agreed a sidewalk wouldn't be put on top of utilities. There are utilities on the north and south sides of the Boulevard.

Mayor Windschitl stated Staff will need to sit down with the applicant to determine alternative options for the sidewalk and access points. It is the appropriate way to do business.

Mr. Anderson explained the sidewalk proposal was to decrease the number of street crossings for their neighbors.

Motion by Councilmember Huang, second by Councilmember Hubbard to adopt Resolution 2024-48 approving the Preliminary Site & Building Plan for Hazeltine Golf Course consistent with Staff's recommendations to keep condition #11 to require a pedestrian connection on the south side of Hazeltine Boulevard and to direct Staff to work with the applicant regarding access and condition #9.

Ms. Hanson requested a sixteenth condition be amended to tie the approval of the final plans of the villas to the upcoming preliminary plans for a new performance center.

Substitute motion by Councilmember Huang, second by Councilmember Hubbard to adopt Resolution 2024-48 approving the Preliminary Site & Building Plan for Hazeltine Golf Course consistent with Staff's recommendations to keep condition #11 to require a pedestrian connection on the south side of Hazeltine Boulevard, add condition #16 to look at the preliminary for the performance center together with the item, and to direct Staff to work with the applicant regarding access and condition #9.

Councilmember Grau thanked the applicant for their time and their investment in the City. Hazeltine will continue to be a world-class facility. Councilmember Huang noted his agreement.

Mayor Windschitl agreed and noted they got caught up in the details because they didn't have all of the information in the packet. The Council wants to ensure projects are done well. He thanked the applicant for working with the Council.

Motion carried.

8.B.i. Ordinance No. 1045

Motion by Councilmember Grau, second by Councilmember Hatfield to adopt Ordinance No. 1045 approving the rezoning from Open (O) to Planned Multi-Use District (PMD-23).
Motion carried.

8.C. Motion to adopt Resolution No. 2024-049 and Ordinance No. 1046, Approval of the Preliminary Plat and Rezoning for Carlson Bluffs (fka Ensconced Woods 2nd)/Johnson-Reiland Homes/PC #2024-08

City Administrator Podhradsky introduced the item to the Council and City Planner Ashley Cauley provided a presentation on it.

Mayor Windschitl asked if the ghost plat was temporary access for Carlson. Ms. Cauley stated the ghost plat contemplated some connection to the south property and development of the adjacent property was contingent on the access. There is no access from outlot A.

Mayor Windschitl asked if the new name includes a plan for a new entrance monument. Paul Reiland, representative of Johnson-Reiland Homes, explained the previous meeting revealed the Council didn't favor an entrance monument, so it was removed.

Mayor Windschitl asked if there is a requirement for an entrance monument. City Administrator Podhradsky noted his distaste for monument signs and stated there is no requirement for them.

Councilmember Hubbard asked for clarification on the reasoning for the name change. Councilmember Grau explained there is a current Ensconced Woods to the northeast of the proposed development, so there were concerns about wayfinding.

Councilmember Grau thanked the applicant for their response to the Council's feedback. The development is a good fit, and the neighbors are looking forward to it. Councilmember Huang thanked the developer for bringing a quality product to Chaska.

Motion by Councilmember Huang, second by Councilmember Grau to adopt Resolution No. 2024-049 approving the Preliminary Play of Carlson Bluffs.
Motion carried.

8.C.i. Ordinance No. 1046

Motion by Councilmember Hubbard, second by Councilmember Grau to adopt Ordinance No. 2024-1046 approving the rezoning from Open Development District to PRD, Planned Residential District.
Motion carried.

8.D. Recommend City Council Approval of the Chaska Community Center Master Plan Report and the Acceptance of the Citizen Task Force's Recommendation

City Administrator Podhradsky introduced the item to the Council and Parks and Recreation Director Marshall Grange provided a presentation on it, along with two representatives, Donelle Heieie and Todd Urbanski of the Citizen Task Force.

Councilmember Grau shared some community members have expressed concerns about the large expense. Some residents might be uninterested in a Community Center, but it is likely the minority. Preliminary data shows that 60 percent of sales are from non-residents. Therefore, the residents would only have to pay for 40 percent of the Community Center. City Administrator Podhradsky added the opening of Costco will only increase the external sales.

Councilmember Grau noted it may create a burden on some local businesses.

Councilmember Hubbard thanked the representatives of the Citizen Task Force for attending the meeting. Hard decisions had to be made, and there is no way to make every community member happy. Ultimately, it was impressive to reconfigure an old building to be useful, modern, and provide new offerings. The Council hopes their hard decisions will benefit future Councils and residents. Part of her platform when running for Council was in part based on reviving the Community Center.

Mayor Windschitl added the cost is much more reasonable than other community centers. City Administrator Podhradsky noted Maple Grove is adding onto their Community Center with a cost of \$115 million. Mayor Windschitl thanked the Citizen Task Force for their work.

Motion by Councilmember Huang, second by Councilmember Hubbard to approve the Chaska Community Center Master Plan Report and the acceptance of the Citizen Task Force's recommendation.
Motion carried.

8.E. Adopt Resolution No. 2024-46 Authorizing Issuance and Sale of \$51,370,000 Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2024 by the Chaska EDA

City Administrator Podhradsky introduced the item to the Council and Administrative Services Director Noel Graczyk provided a presentation on it. Mikaela Huot, Director at Baker Tilly, also provided information to the Council.

Councilmember Huang asked if the bonds would still be secured if the market were to change. Ms. Huot explained the action would come back before the Council if there were any major changes. If Staff foresaw any concerns, Staff would reconsider.

City Administrator Podhradsky pointed out the initial debt service payment calculations showed a higher estimate than today's calculations. Also, the rates can't be redone for ten years.

Motion by Councilmember Hubbard, second by Councilmember Huang to adopt Resolution No. 2024-46 Requesting that the City Economic Development Authority Issue Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2024.
Motion carried.

9. Bills

9.A. Accounts Payable Claims Roster 20-5-2024

The Council requested further information about bills on pages 3 and 6.

City Administrator Podhradsky provided further information regarding those bills.

Motion by Councilmember Huang, second by Councilmember Grau to approve the bills with the exception of the item for parking lot use. Roll call was taken. Voting aye: Councilmembers Hatfield, Hubbard, Huang, Grau and Mayor Windschitl. Voting nay: None
Motion carried.

10. Other Business

10.A. City Administrator's Report

10.A.i. Bi-Weekly Report 20-5-2024

Councilmember Hatfield:

- Explained the Council went to a middle school civics class to answer questions.
- Thanked Officer Janke for her investment in both Chaska and Councilmember Hatfield's personal life.

Councilmember Hubbard:

- Noted her appreciation of the Public Works Staff for the new trails being paved.
- Encouraged community members to celebrate Memorial Day.

Councilmember Huang:

- Pointed out Taste of Chaska was a well-attended, great event.
- Stated the Chaska History Society is hosting tours and reenactment performances.
- Added Officer Janke will celebrate her retirement on May 30, 2024.

Councilmember Grau:

- Stated the Chaska Classic went well.
- Agreed the time with the seventh graders was fun.

Officer Janke:

- Expressed her love for the Chaska community and her career. She added she will remain involved with the Police Department and the community.

City Attorney Morschen:

- Explained it is common for communities to have a time limit for reapplication regarding zoning requests. Chaska doesn't have a time period restricting reapplication.
- Noted the Council previously approved two agreements regarding the regional Bluffs, and the property acquisitions have been accomplished.

Mayor Windschitl:

- Thanked Officer Janke for her service to the community.
- Asked for an update on the creek road. City Administrator Podhradsky confirmed the sewer has been installed.
- Encouraged community members to participate in the Veteran's Park event over the long weekend.

10.B.i. Q1 – 2024 – Investment Report Memo

11. Adjourn

Motion by Councilmember Huang, second by Councilmember Hatfield to adjourn the meeting at 11:26 p.m.

Motion carried.